

EVIDENCE:
FOUR KEY FAILURES BY THE UNITED KINGDOM GOVERNMENT
re: 'SARS-CoV-2'/'COVID9'

[UK PUBLIC HEALTH ENGLAND & UK MEDICINES AND HEALTHCARE PRODUCTS REGULATORY AGENCY]

1. Failure to isolate (as in purify) the alleged virus termed 'SARS-CoV2' or at least independently verify that such isolation (purification) had been completed to the satisfaction of an independent mixed *peer review of experts in order to enable the fulfilment of the Koch postulates, also verified by an independent mixed * peer review panel. [*mixed means an equal balance of biomedical scientists/clinicians who both accept and rebuff both the alleged existential biological nature of 'SARS-CoV-2' and the clinical specificity of the newly alleged disease category 'Covid19' with which it has been associated.]
2. Failure to demonstrate that the alleged virus termed 'SARS-CoV-2' had fulfilled the Koch postulates for causing the disease termed 'Covid19' or independently verified using a mixed* peer review panel.
3. Failure to identify, develop and verify using an independent mixed a suitable gold standard reference for the medical tests – the PCR/antibody tests – which were based upon 1 and 2 [above] independent of the PCR/antibody methodologies and verified by an independent mixed* peer review panel.
4. Failure to trial the medical tests based on 1 and 2 [above] namely both the PCR tests and the antibody tests for 'SARS-CoV-2' in line with the UK's National Screening Committees normal custom and practice for assessing the performance of medical tests prior to their release into the UK's National Health Service involving either randomised controlled trials (RCT) and/or another method(s) of suitable scientific equivalence.

FAILURES/RELEVANCE	DATE	DOCUMENTARY/ SOURCE EVIDENCE
<p>1. Failure to isolate (as in purify) the alleged virus termed 'SARS-CoV2' or at least independently verify that such isolation (purification) had been completed to the satisfaction of an independent mixed *peer review of experts in order to enable the fulfilment of the Koch postulates, also verified by an independent mixed * peer review panel. [*mixed means an equal balance of biomedical scientists/clinicians who both accept and rebuff both the alleged existential biological nature of 'SARS-CoV-2' and the clinical specificity of the newly alleged disease category 'Covid19' with which it has been associated.]</p>	23 January 2020	<p>i. Corman et al [2020] paper co-authored by Professor Maria Zambon UK Director of Reference Microbiology at the UK's Public Health England in charge of national UK's testing for 'SARS-CoV-2'/'Covid19' AND member of the UK GOVERNMENT SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP FOR EMERGENCIES ['SAGE']: https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045</p>
	31 st July 2020	<p>ii. Public Petition/Letter to UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson</p> <p>a) Petition: https://www.gopetition.com/petitions/if-theres-no-proof-the-virus-exists-end-all-lockdownsmaskstraxvax-actions-2.html</p> <p>b) Letter: https://kevinpcorbett.com/coronahysteria/viral-challenge-letter-to-british-prime-minister.html</p>
	26 th April 2020	<p>iii. Corbett [2020a] <i>'Where is the evidence for the existence of the 'novel Coronavirus' 'SARS-CoV-2' and the accuracy of the tests?'</i> London, KPC Research And Consultancy Ltd ISBN 978-1-5272-6214-0 http://kevinpcorbett.com/onewebmedia/WHERE%20IS%20THE%20EVIDENCE%20FOR%20THE%20EXISTENCE%20OF%20THE%20CORONAVIRUS%20FINAL2.pdf</p>
	27 th November 2020	<p>iv. EU countries + Eight more countries worldwide showing no isolation of 'SARS-CoV-2': https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/health-canada-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/</p>

FAILURES/RELEVANCE	DATE	DOCUMENTARY/ SOURCE EVIDENCE
<p>2. Failure to demonstrate that the alleged virus termed 'SARS-CoV-2' had fulfilled the Koch postulates for causing the disease termed 'Covid19' or independently verified using a mixed* peer review panel.</p>	23 January 2020	<p>i. Corman et al [2020] https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045</p>
	19 th June 2020	<p>ii. Corbett (2020b) Problems with Bao et al [2020] https://kevinpcorbett.com/coronahysteria/problems-with-bao-et-al-2020.html</p>
	31 st July 2020	<p>iii. Public Petition/Letter to UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson</p> <p>a) Petition: https://www.gopetition.com/petitions/if-theres-no-proof-the-virus-exists-end-all-lockdownsmaskstraxvax-actions-2.html</p> <p>b) Letter: https://kevinpcorbett.com/coronahysteria/viral-challenge-letter-to-british-prime-minister.html</p>
	26 th April 2020	<p>iv. Corbett [2020a] <i>'Where is the evidence for the existence of the 'novel Coronavirus' 'SARS-CoV-2' and the accuracy of the tests?'</i> London, KPC Research And Consultancy Ltd ISBN 978-1-5272-6214-0 http://kevinpcorbett.com/onewebmedia/WHERE%20IS%20THE%20EVIDENCE%20FOR%20THE%20EXISTENCE%20OF%20THE%20CORONAVIRUS%20FINAL2.pdf</p>

FAILURES/RELEVANCE	DATE	DOCUMENTARY/ SOURCE EVIDENCE
<p>3. Failure to identify, develop and verify using an independent mixed a suitable gold standard reference for the medical tests – the PCR/antibody tests – which were based upon 1 and 2 [above] independent of the PCR/antibody methodologies and verified by an independent mixed* peer review panel.</p>	16 th March 2020	<p>i. UK NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE Standard Operating Policy for PCR tests COVID19 [‘SARS-CoV-2’] Version 1.0 VIRUS TESTING 16 March 2020 Publications approval reference: 001559</p> <p>a. https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/guidance-and-sop-covid-19-virus-testing-in-nhs-laboratories-v1.pdf</p>
	20 th November 2020	<p>ii. a) UK NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE Policy for antibody tests ‘SARS-CoV-2’ 2020</p> <p>a. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/how-tests-and-testing-kits-for-coronavirus-covid-19-work/for-patients-the-public-and-professional-users-a-guide-to-covid-19-tests-and-testing-kits</p> <p>b. b) UK NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE - MEDICINES AND HEALTHCARE PRODUCTS REGULATORY AGENCY Target Product Profile: antibody tests to help determine if people have recent infection to SARS-CoV-2: Version 2 Updated 20 November 2020 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/how-tests-and-testing-kits-for-coronavirus-covid-19-work/target-product-profile-antibody-tests-to-help-determine-if-people-have-recent-infection-to-sars-cov-2-version-2</p>
	26 th April 2020	<p>iii. Corbett [2020] ‘Where is the evidence for the existence of the ‘novel Coronavirus’ ‘SARS-CoV-2’ and the accuracy of the tests?’ London, KPC Research And Consultancy Ltd ISBN 978-1-5272-6214-0</p> <p>a. http://kevinpcorbett.com/onewebmedia/WHERE%20IS%20THE%20EVIDENCE%20FOR%20THE%20EXISTENCE%20OF%20THE%20CORONAVIRUS%20FINAL2.pdf</p>
	20 th November 2020	<p>iv. UK NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE Standard Operating Policy for point of care tests ‘SARS-CoV-2’ 2020</p> <p>a. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/how-tests-and-testing-kits-for-coronavirus-covid-19-work/target-product-profile-point-of-care-sars-cov-2-detection-tests</p>

FAILURES/RELEVANCE	DATE	DOCUMENTARY/ SOURCE EVIDENCE
	18 th May 2020	iii. Corbett [2020] <i>The British Public is Lying to The Public: The UK Government Claims a 100% Accurate Coronavirus Antibody Test.</i> https://kevinpcorbett.com/coronahysteria/the-british-government-is-lying-to-the-public.html