

The Vancouver Hearings, June 15-17, 2012

Here are some preliminary concepts and suggestions to implement a judicial format in The Vancouver Hearings that would permit the Hearing's findings to be submitted to competent legal authorities at the international level, and at the national level in the United States, for investigation, prosecution and enforcement.

Judicial Panel

As you suggested, you are considering a judicial format for the Hearings with a panel of three Judges sitting at the Hearings who would evaluate the presentations and evidence proffered by the speakers (who are appearing as "expert witnesses" under the law), and render a judgment in a 90 minute conclusion to the proceedings.

Each Judge would deliver a 30 minute reasoned opinion from the Bench, followed by a written Judgment and Opinion that would be posted along with the proceedings (papers; videotape or proceedings; possible legal transcript).

You may want to appoint an *amicus curiae* (Counsel, friend of the Court), whose function would be to introduce each speaker as an expert witness to the Court and frame the legal relevance of the evidence/presentation the witness is about to give.

The *amicus curiae* would interface with the Master of Ceremonies, the speakers ("Expert witnesses"), and the panel of Judges.

9/11 as a war crime

I would deeply favor such a format for a number of reasons.

(1) International humanitarian law – The 9/11 false flag operation, in my opinion, constitutes the war crimes of Aggressive War, Genocide, and Crimes against Humanity under the Nuremberg Decision, the Geneva Conventions, and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. The planners and perpetrators of the 9/11 false flag operation intended to use this false flag operation to commence a war of aggression against Afghanistan, and arguably Iraq. The resulting wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, through use of depleted uranium (DU) weapons have resulted in deliberate acts by the U.S. government, including those involved in the 9/11 false flag operation, that constitute genocide and crimes against

humanity.

(2) Enforcement - The Judgment and proceedings of the Vancouver Hearings can be brought to (1) any of the nation-state signatories of the Rome Statute for prosecution for war crimes by their national courts (e.g. Spain); (2) A district attorney or other prosecutor in the U.S. for prosecution of the perpetrators for murder.

At the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal, we found George W. Bush and Tony Blair guilty of aggressive war for war crimes in Iraq, and have set the above procedures into motion. Please see:

Bush, Blair found guilty of war crimes in Malaysia tribunal/ Judgment of the Court (PDF)

http://exopolitics.blogs.com/breaking_news/2011/11/bush-blair-found-guilty-of-war-crimes-in-malaysia-tribunal-judgment-of-the-court-pdf.html

Format of The Vancouver Hearings

The present format of The Vancouver Hearings (below) would accommodate itself easily to a Judicial Format without significant change.

Suggestions for Format

1. Staging – The Panel of Judges can be seated at a table with microphones, Stage Left (on the Judges left as the Judges face the audience), at a 45 degree angle to the edge of the stage. The Speaker's ("Expert witnesses") podium can be place Stage Right at a 45 degree angle to the edge of the stage. Stage center would be a table with the Master of Ceremonies and the amicus curiae.

2. Swearing in – Judges and expert witnesses (Speakers) would be sworn in by a local Vancouver, BC Judge or Notary Public at the opening of the proceedings. This gives rise to the perjury penalty for intentional false witness.

3. Speaker's presentations – You may want to allow 10 mins at the end of each speaker's presentation for brief questions by Judges.

4. Discussion & debate – *Amicus curiae* would moderate these and Judges may ask questions.

5. Judgment and Opinion – There is a suggested new section in the format (below).

Sunday June 17, 2012

Noon – 1:30 pm Lunch on the Town

1:30 – 3:00 pm Judgment and Opinions by Panel of Judges.

Master of Ceremonies ends Proceedings

6. Recording/Media – As discussed, you may wish to (1) video-tape proceedings for the record; (2) have a legal transcript; (3) live stream the proceedings on the Internet through some provider like www.livestream.com; (4) Have a press/media agent to get the story of The Vancouver Hearings into the Mainstream Media in Canada, the USA, and the world.

Additional Speakers (Expert witnesses)

You may want to consider adding:

1. Independent scientist Lauren Moret who is an expert on the depleted uranium (DU) aspects of 9/11, an expert on the directed energy weapons aspects of 9/11, and a co-author with me of the 2007 Memorandum to the U.S. Congress re the 9/11 Independent Prosecutor Act.

2. Former DARPA Project Pegasus participant (1968-71) and Washington State attorney Andrew D. Basiago who has direct eyewitness testimony regarding Donald H. Rumsfeld's possession in 1971, 30 years in advance of 9/11, of photographic images of the 9/11 event at the World Trade Center, acquired through exotic DARPA quantum access technology.

THE VANCOUVER HEARINGS

<http://www.truthjihad.com/VancouverHearings.htm>

The Denman Theatre, 15-17 June 2012

Organizers: James H. Fetzer, Ph.D., and Joshua Blakeney

PROGRAM: 5 PM—Doors Open. 6-10 PM—Speakers, Discussion, and Debate

Friday, 15 June 2012:

Master of Ceremonies: Jack Etkin

6:00-7:00 PM: Orientation and Keynote Address: Splitting the Sky

7:00-9:00 PM: Session 1: How were the Twin Towers destroyed?

*Charles Boldwyn, "Conventional Explosives and Nanothermite?" (40 minutes)

*Kurt Miller, "Mini-Nukes or Directed Energy Weaponry?" (40 minutes)

*Dwain Deets, "Alternative Theories about the Twin Towers" (40 minutes)

9:00-10:00 PM: Discussion and Debate

Saturday, 16 June 2012:

9:00-11:00 AM: Session 2: Video Fakery and Planes/No Planes

*Morgan Reynolds, "Some Problems with the Plane Stories" (40 minutes)

*Mike Sparks, "What did and didn't happen at the Pentagon" (40 minutes)

*Jim Fetzer, "Fakery and Fraud in the 'official account' of 9/11" (40 minutes)

11:00-Noon: Discussion and Debate

Noon-1:30 PM: Lunch on the town

1:30-4:30 PM: Session 3: The Hijackers, the Phone Calls, and the Passengers

*Elias Davidsson, "There is no proof hijackers were on those planes" (40 minutes)

A.K. Dewdney, "The phone calls from the planes were faked" (40 minutes)

*Dean Hartwell, "If there were no planes, were there passengers?" (40 minutes)

4:30-5:30: Discussion and Debate

5:30-7:00: Dinner on the town

7:00-9:00 PM: Session 4: Who was responsible for 9/11 and why?

*Kevin Barrett, " (40 minutes)

*Joshua Blakeney, " (40 minutes)

James Petras, " (40 minutes)

9:00-10:00 PM: Discussion and Debate

Sunday 17 June 2012

9:00-11:00 AM: Session 5: The Media and the Intelligence Agencies

*Anthony Hall, " (40 minutes)

Barrie Zwicker, " (40 minutes)

Webster Tarpley, " (40 minutes)

11:00-Noon: Discussion and Debate

* Speakers are confirmed.

Noon – 1:30 pm Lunch on the Town

1:30 – 3:00 pm Judgment and Opinions by Panel of Judges.
Master of Ceremonies ends Proceedings

Alfred Lambremont Webre, JD, MEd
March 9, 2012

Tel: [604-733-8134](tel:604-733-8134)

Email: webre@shaw.ca