NATURAL AND COMMON LAW TRIBUNAL FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND JUSTICE

Indictment, 29 November year 2020

Genocidal Technologies-Coronavirus Genocide & Crimes Against Humanity in violation of Articles 6 and 7 of the International Criminal Code/Rome Statute
Use this Sample Request for Writ to Stop:
Genocidal Technologies-Coronavirus Genocide in your community, City, Region, and Nation through:
• Deployment of 5G/AI wifi & Genocidal Technologies Coronavirus & COVID 19 Vaccinations
• Social Control Measures: Coronavirus
• Lockdowns, Economic Closures, Masking & Social Distancing Constitute Genocide & Crimes Against Humanity under the International Criminal Code/the Rome Statute

Testimony

- The Covid vaccine is an experimental vaccine because it has been developed in less than a year. The second fastest vaccine has taken 5 years to develop. It usually takes about 10 years to develop a vaccine.

- The Covid vaccines that are RNA or DNA-based are experimental because they are built on a completely new platform, which has never before been a platform of any vaccine that has been given in any vaccination program or on a large scale. Never before has any such vaccine been licensed with this platform.

  Modern/NIAID, Pfizer/BioNTech, Curevac develop RNA vaccine. RNA vaccine enters the cell.

  DNA vaccine also enters the cell but, unlike RNA vaccine, must enter all the way into the cell nucleus.

  The cell nucleus contains the individual's sensitive genetic predisposition and the body is built so that no strange objects can get in there and has several defense systems for this. DNA vaccines bypass all these defense systems and no one knows what that means in the long run.

- This new RNA/DNA platform is based on the need to have a carrier, for example in the form of a nano particle or a vector. In vector-based covid vaccines, adenovirus is a DNA-virus and are used as vectors. Normally in nature, adenovirus enters the cell nucleus and is forcing the cell into replicating the virus. In the case of vector vaccines, the virus is genetically modified, so that it cannot multiply itself. But in the virus' genoma, gene sequences such as the covid-19 virus' spike proteins (or other antigens) are genetically inserted, so that it is these antigens that will be replicated in the human cell. This is simply a GMO product.

  Since antibodies are also formed against the vector, the vector vaccine is emphasized that the disadvantage is that it could therefore only be used once per individual, and that booster vaccination becomes problematic.

- According to the Swedish Medicines Agency, there are also live vectors "*potential treatments and vaccines against covid-19 containing attenuated viruses or live vectors can be included in the definition of GMO*".

- In the EU, the European Council has adopted a new temporary regulation on GMO-based covid vaccines. In the case of clinical trials of covid-19 vaccines, "*a temporary derogation from the requirement of a prior environmental risk assessment is introduced for the deliberate release into the environment and the contained use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs)*". In addition, it is clarified that this temporary derogation shall also apply when member states allow the use of medicinal products for human use, which contain or consist of GMOs and are intended to treat or prevent covid-19, in certain exceptional and acute situations as defined in the pharmaceutical legislation.

  The derogation provides that most operations related to the conduct of clinical trials will not require a prior environmental risk assessment or consent. These operations include packaging and labeling, storage, transport, destruction, disposal, distribution, supply, administration or use of investigational medicinal products for human use containing or consisting of GMOs intended to treat or prevent COVID-19.

  https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2020/07/14/vaccine-against-covid-19-council-adopts-measures-to-facilitate-swift-development/

All countries that adopt or are subject to this law violate the safety of humans and the environment as the vaccine does not need to be preceded by any customary risk assessment.

- It is not communicated to the public that several covid vaccines are GMO products. Many people do not want GMOs in their food, and in the EU such food products must be labeled. Lots of GMO vaccines are now being phased out without the usual risk assessment that otherwise applies to GMO products.

- Astra Zeneca/Oxford, Cansino/Beijing Institute of Biotechnology, Gamaleya Research Institute (Russia's covid vaccine Sputnik) and Janssen Pharmaceuticals have developed vector-based covid vaccines. All four vectors are based on adenovirus. These viral vectors are viruses that are genetically modified.

  Astra Zeneca/Oxford´s vaccine is based on a weakened version of an adenovirus that causes colds in chimpanzees. Cansino/Beijing Institute of Biotechnology´vaccine is based on Ad5 vector. Ad5 vectors are the most common adenovirus vector originally created for gene therapy.

- Vector technology was developed for gene therapy, to be able to introduce genes in order to correct genetic mutations in humans. The researchers used Ad5 (a modified adenovirus vector). However, this abruptly ended when a teenage boy with a rare genetic liver disease died after receiving an injection of experimental gene therapy, which had been designed in James Wilson's laboratory at the University of Pennsylvania. The goal was to deliver a working copy of the teenager's broken gene, but the viruses threw his immune system into overdrive. Four days after being treated, Gelsinger died from the inflammatory process.

  Ad5 did not work for gene therapy (which uses very high doses), so it was thought that it would work for vaccines instead, by taking advantage of the vector-induced inflammation. Then adjuvants would not be needed. Problems with cytokine storms (inflammation) are known from previous trials of coronavine vaccine production, where the experimental animals died when they after the vaccination were exposed to the infection.

- Recombinant protein covid vaccines use either protein from the virus or fragments of protein that mimic the outer shell of the virus. The vaccine must be combined with an adjuvant to work. The HPV vaccine is a protein vaccine and was developed using recombinant genetic engineering. The HPV vaccine was the first vaccine developed with this GM technology. HPV is the vaccine that has generated the most side effects in the reporting system in Sweden. The American covid vaccine manufacturer Novavax and Anhui Zhifei Longcom Biopharmaceutical in China make protein-based covid vaccines. Novavax uses a Swedish-developed adjuvant called Matrix M.

- DNA/RNA vaccine is about forcing our bodies to produce viral proteins in our cells. We usually learn in school that a gene encodes for one protein and that the protein only has one effect. However, this is incorrect. A protein can have more than one effect, effects over which we have no overview. When the vaccine is given to instruct the cell to produce a certain protein, we do not know if that particular protein also has other effects, not least because you are not looking for it. We do not know what this means in the long run and we do not know for how long time the genes from the covid vaccine will replicate in the cell. If it is a DNA vaccine, then we do not know if it will be able to spread to daughter cells and interfere with the mitosis.

- We do not know what covid vaccination means in the long run. It can take a long time before we know the side effects of this. The narcolepsy, side effect of the Pandemrix vaccine in 2009/2010 continued to occur for another two years after the vaccine had been administered to the population in Sweden. Injured patients would also be reimbursed for these vaccine injuries that were discovered as late as two years after they had been vaccinated. However, injured individuals have still not received the compensation to which they are entitled. Last year, hundreds of narcolepsy victims made an attempt to claim damages from the Swedish state.

- The Covid vaccine is an experimentally engineered vaccine, because there are no long-term evaluations. It has been manufactured in less than one-fifth of the time that the second fastest vaccine has been developed.

- The Covid vaccine is an experimental vaccine because it has not been evaluated for information on its carcinogenic, mutagenic or epigenetic effects, nor for its effect on fertility. (This also applies to the vaccines already on the market.)

- There is also a risk of contamination, that foreign DNA/RNA codes enter the cell, and we also do not know what effect this will have.

- Never before in history we have had any vaccine that has free access into the cell and into the cell nucleus and uses the cell’s own replication machinery.

- If the inserted gene sequence, or a piece of it, happens to be on a structure elsewhere in the body, there is an imminent risk of autoimmunity.

- Previous corona vaccines are known to cause fatal cytokine storms (inflammatory conditions). There is a serious risk that a corona/covid vaccine will sensitize to corona/covid, so that the next time a person comes in contact with corona/covid infection, people will die from the cytokine storms, thus die from the reaction to the infection, or become very ill. Even if it is basically the vaccine that is to blame, it might be the external covid infection that gets blamed. Then there is an imminent risk that it is the infection that will be considered fatal when it in fact is the vaccine.

- Before the 2009 swine flu season, the pandemic rules were changed by the WHO. That pandemic would never have been considered a pandemic according to the old rules. That it was decided to be a pandemic is due to the fact that WHO no longer would take into account the danger of an infection. According to the WHO, a pandemic would in the past give rise to "enormous numbers of deaths and illness". Related to danger, the question is whether even covid-19 can be seen as a pandemic.

- Healthcare is provided to save lives and health but is allowed to take more lives than Covid-19 (which is a deadly disease) does. Based on the results of a ten-year study of government statistics, iatrogenic diseases/injuries are the leading cause of death. Iatrogenic injuries (injuries caused by healthcare and which could have been avoided) annually kill about 783,000 patients in the United States (0.25 per mille of the population), and 300,000 patients (0.1 per mille) of them die as a result of the side effects of their drugs.

  According to Johns Hopkins University and Professor Peter C Gøtzsche in Denmark, prescription drugs are the third leading cause of death after cancer and cardiovascular disease. The patients thus die of health care, the health care that was intended to save them from illness. However, there is no shutdown of healthcare despite the fact that it kills more people than Covid-19 does.

  In the United States, 268,949 people have died <u>with</u> Covid-19. The number of people who have died <u>because of</u> Covid-19 has not even been determined.

- There is already a well-known treatment for Covid-19. Already 15 years ago, scientists and even Anthony Fauci confirmed that hydroxychloroquine was effective on corona and also prevented sagging.

- As early as April this year, the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS) reported over 90% efficacy in the use of hydroxychloroquine against Covid-19. Shortly after that clarification, a study was published in which, on the contrary, it was

claimed that hydroxychloroquine was dangerous. It quickly turned out that that study was fraudulent and it was withdrawn. Bill Gates had speaked out and said that drugs against Covid-19 must reach 95% effectiveness, and that only vaccines could reach this high, but that vaccines that only reached 60% would be accepted. Nowadays, scientific studies shows that vitamin D, vitamin C, zinc, vitamin A/beta carotene and colloidal silver work against Covid-19. In China, researchers/doctors have shown that none of the covid patients who received vitamin C died. People would not have had to die to such an extent, if at all, if the state had ordered nutritional treatment.

- Elderly people do not respond as well to vaccines as younger people do. Older people tolerate toxins and drugs much worse. Vaccines are generally not tested on the elderly people. At the same time, it is the elderly people who constitute the large risk group and those the state wants to be vaccinated.

- There is a risk that pharmaceutical companies have direct access to human cell nucleus, with an easily available technology that is able to rewrite our genes. It can be done without our knowledge. This should be seen in the light of the fact that the Rockefeller Foundation and WHO already in the 1970's developed antifertility vaccine based on hCG, which causes sterility in women. In the early 1990s, WHO led and monitored massive tetanus vaccination campaigns in Nicaragua, Mexico and the Philippines. The tetanus vaccines were spiked with hCG, which leads to women becoming sterile. The vaccine was administered only to females 15-45 years of age (fertile age) and administered three times, but tetanus vaccine is only administered once in a decade or less. The WHO first denied but later claimed that it was a contamination in the manufacturing process.

Cheating industry

- One of GlaxoSmithKlein's classified reports from 2011 stated that the infant vaccine Infanrix Hexa had led to the deaths of 74 children and another 500 different types of side effects. This should be compared with the drug studies that were the basis for the approval of the vaccine. In those drug studies, no children died, and the registered side effects were only claimed to be of about 50 different types. Which shows that the pharmaceutical companies are not telling the truth about their research results.

- Another dishonest company is Eli Lilly & co, which bribed an official to bring Prozac into Sweden and the troublesome side effects were conjured away and the deaths were reduced to footnotes.

- Peter Rost, Ex-Vice President, marketing, Pfizer/Parmacia and whistleblower spoke in 2007 at a parliamentary hearing in Sweden about the following. How Pharmacia had been illegally sold Genotropin to "stop aging". Distributing drugs on incorrect indications is a serious crime that can result in 10 years in prison. Rost said that the industry had undergone 100 preliminary investigations against 200 pharmaceutical companies. Various pharmaceutical companies had been convicted for neglecting manufacturing, tax evasion, bribing doctors and engaging in illegal marketing, and pharmaceutical companies even went there for the same crime over and over again. 27 companies were fined for ignoring the advertising rules and the most serious fine fell to Novartis, which was fined 7 times for the same crime. The company received a penalty fee of USD 93,000, which corresponds to a USD 6 penalty fee for an industrial worker. This simply means that the penalty fee pays off and can be seen as an investment fee, he said "in the last 3-4 years alone, the industry has had to pay USD 4 billion in fines for crime."

- The pharmaceutical industry can simply afford to cheat, for example, Merck has agreed to pay USD 649 million in damages to the US government. The company has been accused of defrauding the funding system and bribing doctors to prescribe their drugs instead of competitors'. A former Merck employee receives USD 68 million for exposing the cheating. Merck said in a press release that it would not be seen as an acknowledgment.

- A number of studies have shown that research funded by profiting organizations, ie companies, to a significant extent emerges with results that are to the company's advantage. Conversely, research funded by non-profit organizations is more often critical of the products they examine.

- A study showed that only one in ten medical academies had a set of rules to prevent biased research/disputes that prohibit researchers from being partners, having consulting agreements or sitting on decision-making positions in companies that sponsor their own research. In 1986, 46% of American biotechnology companies stated that they sponsored academic research, and ten years later the proportion was 91.8%. According to a survey of 47 influential medical journals conducted in 2000, only 20, ie not even half, required the authors of the article to declare disputes.

- Ninety-six percent of the authors who were positive about the preparation calcium channel blockers had an economic connection to a manufacturer of calcium channel blockers. Of the twenty-two article authors who did not have financial ties to the pharmaceutical industry, none were positive about calcium channel blockers.

An analogy with the tobacco industry looks like this: of the researchers who had connections with the industry, 94% had found that passive smoking was not harmful, and consequently 6% percent thought it was harmful. Among the researchers independent of the tobacco industry, on the other hand, 87% claimed that passive smoking was harmful and 13% that it was not harmful.

- Reporting a study at a different time than planned can be another way of angling the results. Measurements are often made at several different times and it happens that the researchers only publish the most favorable measurement opportunity. There is a clear tendency to publish only the calculation that gives the most positive outcome.

  There are also different ways to analyze the dropout rate (ie the trial participants who leave the study), and this can significantly affect the result. The result is an overestimation of the effect of the treatment.

  Another problem – which is perhaps the most serious – is that information about side effects is lacking in many articles. Often only positive effects are reported. A review of almost 200 published studies of a broad spectrum of medical fields shows that the data on side effects were substandard in half of the cases.

- There is a clear tendency to publish only the calculation that gives the most positive outcome. There are different ways that researchers can influence their results:

  Omission of effect measures. When 102 research protocols from the Copenhagen area were compared with the 122 scientific articles that the researchers had later published, clear differences were discovered. Impact measures, which the researchers previously stated as primary in their protocols, had now been classified as secondary or deleted altogether. This occurred in no less than two-thirds of the 82 randomized trials. Similar issues are addressed in the journal The Lancet, where 11 of 37 studies deviated from the primary endpoints compared with the corresponding protocols.

  Late or non-publication of studies that have shown harmful effects can result in patients being injured or dying unnecessarily. Unfortunately, not a completely unusual phenomenon. Merck, which manufactures the Gardasil vaccine, failed to publish the fatal effects of Vioxx, which led to the unnecessary deaths of over 50,000 people. Merck received harsh criticism for not withdrawing Vioxx immediately after the company realized the serious side effects. The pharmaceutical company kept the information for four! years. Among other things, the risk of heart attack doubled after one and a half years of use. Thousands of patients have sued Merck in the United States for the side effects.

Merck manufactures Gardail, the HPV vaccine, which was the first vaccine to be developed using recombinant genetic engineering, and that vaccine has the most reported adverse reactions of all the childhood vaccines in Sweden.

High systemic adverse events

- 100 % of those injected with two doses of Modern's mRNA vaccine experienced systemic side effects.

  50 % of those aged 18-55 years in Pfizer trials experienced systemic side effects.

  One single dose was enough to cause more than 50% of participants to experience side effects in the Astra-Zeneca/Oxford trial.

  One single dose caused almost 2/3 of those under the age of 55 to have systemic side effects, compared with approximately 1/3 of those over the age of 65, in the J & J's trials.

  In all trials systemic side effects occured including symptoms like chills, fever, muscle aches and headaches, which participants claim lasted for about 24 hours. A man even broke a tooth by chopping his teeth during chills.

  Astra-Zeneca/Oxford also had to pause its trial. Participants developed neurological conditions such as transverse myelitis and multiple sclerosis. Also one death occurred in the placebo group, but the participant had received a meningitis vaccine instead of a saline solution. Thus, it was not a neutral placebo.

  Johnson & Johnson suspended its COVID-19 vaccine trial due to a serious side effect in a vaccine recipient, but refused to disclose the disease on the grounds of confidentiality.

  Pfizer/BioNTech has said they will not pause their trial despite side effects.

  In October, the FDA approved both Johnson & Johnson and Astra-Zeneca to resume their trials, stating that FDA could not by certainty link neither the serious side effects nor the death to the COVID vaccines. The trials are small, but one has to consider that serious side effects in just a few participants can turn into colossal numbers if the entire world's population is vaccinated. It is usually decided that deaths and serious side effects not are linked to the vaccine, even if they probably are.

PCR-test and more

- PCR tests cannot be used to detect sick/infectious individuals. It cannot be used to diagnose. This applies both according to the inventor of the PCR test and the Swedish Public Health Agency, which writes on the authority's website "*The PCR technology used in tests to detect viruses cannot distinguish between viruses capable of infecting cells and viruses that have been neutralized by the immune system, and therefore these tests cannot be used to determine if someone is contagious or not. RNA from viruses can often be detected for weeks after the illness but does not mean that you are still contagious* ".

- Closing borders, businesses, implementing lockdowns etc must comply with the principle of proportionality. According to the WHO, more than 1.2 million children have died as a result of the restrictions. That was more deaths than those who had died of Covid-19, which at this time was about 1 million, but then it is "with Covid-19", not "of Covid-19". In addition, this has largely been based on PCR tests that cannot be used diagnostically, as they are not reliable, at least not in the summer (because the spread of infection is so low then and the test becomes unreliable at such low measurements). Most of the restrictions have been in full swing during the summer. One of the gene sequences tested with PCR tests is even found in the human genome, which could mean that more people get a positive result. The PCR test is not reliable. The President of Tanzania tested PCR tests and found that both goat and papaya tested positive for covid-19.

- Portuguese court has ruled that PCR testing is not sufficient to determine if someone is infected with covid-19. The court ruled that quarantine based on PCR tests was illegal.

- Closing borders, businesses, introducing lockdowns etc is not proportionally compared to iatrogenic diseases – from health care which are intended to be a contribution to life and health – kill more lives each year than Covid-19 has done so far.

- Never before have deaths been calculated on the basis of "with" the disease, but it has always been previously calculated when someone dies "of" the disease. This means that there are statistical miscalculations in the data to adapt measures.

- In the autumn, more people tend to catch flu-like illnesses, and this has always been the case. Every new flu season begins in the autumn, but that has not been the case with Covid-19. This time during the autumn, the nations have continued to add the number of tested, sick and dead to the numbers of spring and summer.

- MHRA in London makes a procurement, and MHRA urgently seeks an Artificial Intelligence (AI) software tool to process the expected high volume of Covid-19 vaccine Adverse Drug Reaction (ADRs) and ensure that no details from the ADRs' reaction text are missed.

- Fetal cell lines are used to develop vaccines, including Covid-19 vaccines, but the vaccine undergoes a pre-delivery purification process and these cells do not form part of the vaccine. The problem is that it is not possible to clean completely, but residues will remain in the vaccine.

  Astra Zenaca's ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 used T-Rex 293 HEK cells in the virus propagation stage. This refers to 'human embryonic kidney cells', which come from another human cell line.

- No one knows if these covid genetic sequences when they enter the cell nucleus will become persistent and/or may be taken up by our own genome. One fear is that if this happens, there is a risk that the body may be considered a patented property, in the same way as it is already done in the plant and animal kingdom. For example Monsanto has claimed property right when small amount of their patented GMO is found in a conventional crop.

- There is research evidence that oral protection (masks) is not effective in preventing the spread of SARS-Cov-2 virus, which causes Covid-19 disease. Oral protection (masks) leads to poorer breathing and reduced oxygenation, and can thus increase the extent of infections and thus pose a risk to health and life. Many elderly people (the primary risk group for Covid-19) often have breathing problems, which are aggravated by mouth protection.

- All medicines have serious or very serious side effects. Vaccines are also deadly. Vaccine is a drug that is particularly insidious because it bypasses the usual defense barriers and gets direct access into your tissue. Vaccines often contain adjuvants which in themselves can cause serious side effects. All vaccines cause serious side effects and some of the worst are not known until it has been given to tens of thousands, maybe several tens of thousands. Narcolepsy in Sweden shook the whole country, the country was in crisis and it was handled as the worst scandal, but if it had been included in the frequency in the package leaflet, it would have ended up under "very rare". If a very rare side effect is an actual scandal, then what scandal aren't all the "rare" and "less common" and "common" side effects that can be just as serious? The only thing we know for sure is that lots of people will get side effects from the covid vaccine. That is what a package leaflet is about – to find out which diseases you can suffer from. There may also be side effects that have not even been registered, and never will, and therefore no one knows about.

> A new study shows that people who have had Covid-19 get a powerful and long-lasting immune response that can last for years or decades. The information may overshadow plans for extensive vaccinations, writes the New York Times November 17.

I hereby certify that my testimony is completely truthful.

Date: November 26, 2020

Sara Boo

Signature of the witness

The first name of the witness: Sara

The surname of the witness: Boo

The date of Birth of the witness: 1973, May 27

The nationality of the witness: Swedish

I am writing in the following capacity: Secretary of the NHF Sweden (National Health Federation Sweden) and board member of the National Health Federation (USA)