Certificate of Safe Conduct & Health Safety and Cease & Desist

Please be advised that
is guaranteed Safe Conduct and Health Safety under the protection of Natural Law and Common Law, of Articles 6 and 7 of the International Criminal Code Statute banning Genocide and Crimes Against Humanity, of the Final Judgment of the Natural and Common Law Tribunal for Public Health and Justice at www.Peaceinspace.org & of the Nuremberg Code banning Experimentation without Voluntary Consent in pursuit of duties and daily life by and not limited to the following (A) to (E) below.

Cease and Desist any attempts, in contravention of the Tribunal Final Judgment and International Law, to administer PCR COVID tests, vaccinations, Social Control methods such as masking, lockdowns, quarantines, and/or any surveillance, harassment, detention, arrests or other means of preventing this individual from exercising their work and daily life functions.
(A) THE UNITED NATIONS UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

ARTICLE 19 "EVERYONE HAS THE RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF OPINION AND EXPRESSION; THIS RIGHT INCLUDES FREEDOM TO HOLD OPINIONS WITHOUT INTERFERENCE AND TO SEEK, RECEIVE AND IMPART INFORMATION AND IDEAS THROUGH ANY MEDIA AND REGARDLESS OF FRONTIERS."

ARTICLE 9 "No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile."

ARTICLE 13

1. "Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.
2. "Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country."

(B) ARTICLES 6 AND 7 OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL CODE STATUTE BANNING GENOCIDE AND CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

Genocide and Crimes Against Humanity as defined in Articles 6 and 7 of the International Criminal Code - As perpetrators of the Genocidal Technologies-Pandemic, the defendants herein have committed and continue to commit Genocide and Crimes Against Humanity as defined in Articles 6 and 7 of the International Criminal Code.¹

(1) Genocide – As defined in Article 6 of the International Criminal Code, For the purpose of this Statute, “genocide” means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

(a) Killing members of the group;
(b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
(c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
(d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
(e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

(2) Crimes Against Humanity – As defined in Article 7 of the International Criminal Code, For the purpose of this Statute, “crime against humanity” means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack:

(a) Murder;
(b) Extermination;
(c) Enslavement;
(d) Deportation or forcible transfer of population;
(e) Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law;
(f) Torture;

¹ https://www.icc-cpi.int/NR/rdonlyres/EA9AEFF7-5752-4F84-BE94-0A655EB30E16/0/Rome_Statute_English.pdf
(g) Rape, sexual slavery, enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, enforced sterilization, or any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity;

(h) Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court;

(i) Enforced disappearance of persons;

(j) The crime of apartheid;

(k) Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health.

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1:

(a) “Attack directed against any civilian population” means a course of conduct involving the multiple commission of acts referred to in paragraph 1 against any civilian population, pursuant to or in furtherance of a State or organizational policy to commit such attack;

(b) “Extermination” includes the intentional infliction of conditions of life, inter alia the deprivation of access to food and medicine, calculated to bring about the destruction of part of a population;

(c) “Enslavement” means the exercise of any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership over a person and includes the exercise of such power in the course of trafficking in persons, in particular women and children;

(d) “Deportation or forcible transfer of population” means forced displacement of the persons concerned by expulsion or other coercive acts from the area in which they are lawfully present, without grounds permitted under international law;

(e) “Torture” means the intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, upon a person in the custody or under the control of the accused; except that torture shall not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to, lawful sanctions;

(f) “Forced pregnancy” means the unlawful confinement of a woman forcibly made pregnant, with the intent of affecting the ethnic composition of any population or carrying out other grave violations of international law. This definition shall not in any way be interpreted as affecting national laws relating to pregnancy;

(g) “Persecution” means the intentional and severe deprivation of fundamental rights contrary to international law by reason of the identity of the group or collectivity;

(h) “The crime of apartheid” means inhumane acts of a character similar to those referred to in paragraph 1, committed in the context of an institutionalized regime of systematic oppression and domination by one racial group over any other racial group or groups and committed with the intention of maintaining that regime;

(i) “Enforced disappearance of persons” means the arrest, detention or abduction of persons by, or with the authorization, support or acquiescence of, a State or a political organization, followed by a refusal to acknowledge that deprivation of freedom or to give information on the fate or whereabouts of those persons, with the intention of removing them from the protection of the law for a prolonged period of time.

3. For the purpose of this Statute, it is understood that the term “gender” refers to the two sexes, male and female, within the context of society. The term “gender” does not indicate any meaning different from the above.

Crimes Against Humanity - Misuse and abuse of Police forces worldwide intentionally now weaponized to cause great harm, injury and human suffering of mass populations, deliberately preventing police from protecting injured, harmed people, deliberately preventing them from investigating crimes and arresting known criminals and perpetrators

Crimes Against Humanity - Targeted Domestic Terrorism, Torture, Persecution at individuals and Manufactured Targeted False Flag Terrorism, promoting and spreading extreme fear, Extreme Psychological, Emotional Abuse,
Assault Terror and Torture at mass populations of people worldwide, via TV networks, TV and film production companies, Newspapers and Magazine publications

(C) THE NUREMBERG CODE

The Nuremberg Code

The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.
This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.

The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.

The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.

The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
No experiment should be conducted, where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.

The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.

Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.

The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.

During the course of the experiment, the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, if he has reached the physical or mental state, where continuation of the experiment seemed to him to be impossible.

During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgement required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.


(D) That Mask Is Giving You Lung Cancer
From Guy Crittenden, who, for 25 years, edited the trade journal HazMat Management.
(This was posted on Facebook.)
By Guy Crittenden
Global Research, November 04, 2020
News from Underground 23 October 2020
Theme: Media Disinformation, Science and Medicine
I happen to know a thing or two about masks and safety. Why? Because for 25 years I was the editor of an award-winning trade magazine called HazMat Management that covered such topics as pollution prevention and compliance with health & safety laws. We routinely published articles on masks, gloves, respirators and other forms of personal protective equipment (PPE). Now let me tell you a few things about that mask you’re wearing. And please note that what I’m about to share was also stated in the most recent edition of Del Bigtree’s program The Highwire when two OSHA mask experts spoke to the fact that the kinds of masks people are wearing were never (never!) designed to be worn for long periods and doing so is very harmful.

The blue typical mask depicted in the photograph contain Teflon and other chemicals. A Facebook friend reminds us: 1. Masks are “sterilized” with Ethylene Oxide — a known carcinogen. Many teachers in various school boards have been experiencing significant symptoms as a direct result of the effects of this chemical. 2. The masks contain (not sprayed with) PTFE which makes up Teflon along with other chemicals. I found and have posted the US patent to allow manufacturers to use PTFE as a filter in commercial masks… “breathing these for extended periods can lead to lung cancer.”

Don’t agree? Argue with the experts at OSHA, which is the main US agency, i.e., its Occupational Health & Safety Agency. These masks are meant to be worn only for short periods, like say if you’re sanding a table for an hour and don’t want to inhale sawdust. They don’t do anything whatsoever to stop the spread of any virus, and the emerging science of virology now understands that viruses aren’t even passed person to person. I know that sounds incredible, but it’s the case that the virus is in the air, you breath it in, there’s no way to prevent that short of living in an oxygen tent, and if you have a strong immune system you’ll be fine, and if you have a weak immune system you may have to deal with the effects of your immune system working to restore balance within your metabolism.

So let’s say you don’t wear the blue packaged masks, and instead wear a homemade cloth mask — the kind people wear over and over and hang on their rearview mirror and so on. Those masks are completely useless against a virus, and are also very dangerous. OSHA would never condone a person wearing a mask of this kind for anything more than the shortest time. Re-breathing your own viral debris is dangerous to health, and the oxygen deprivation children suffer wearing such masks all day will certainly cause brain damage. I’m not making this up. Again, you might say, well, Guy, you’re not a doctor. True, but I did edit that magazine for 25 years. That’s a long time and many articles on masks and PPE. I’ve attended numerous OH&S conferences and listened to experts discussing these matters.

You may hear people saying that surgeons and nurses wear masks like this all day. Um, no. No they don’t. They’re trained in the proper use of masks, which is to wear them in the OR, then dispose of the mask when they leave that room. Are you aware that operating rooms are actually supplied extra oxygen, to compensate for the reduction in oxygen flow from mask wearing? To my mind, it’s criminal (not hyperbole) to force children to wear masks all day. Setting aside the very real psychological effects, we’re going to have a generation of brain damaged children. Ever heard the expression, “Not enough oxygen at birth?” That’s a joke at the expense of a mentally challenged person, but that’s literally what we’re doing. And we’re told it’s to “keep us
safe”! We’re told this by doctors who actually don’t know about PPE and laypeople who have no clue.

So, you can choose to believe me or not, but I was the editor for a quarter century of a magazine that had a strong occupational health and safety mandate, and I can tell you that the mask wearing currently mandated by governments and private businesses offers no health benefit whatsoever, in no way protects you or anyone else from any virus, and actually does you damage beyond wearing it for a few minutes. Got that? Good. Now please share this message and get the conversation going with parents, who must end this masking of children immediately. This is a very serious matter. And related to that, let me just state this doesn’t end for me when the lockdown ends or the masking ends. No, this ends for me when every politician and bureaucrat who inflicted this travesty, this crime against humanity, on the population of Canada (and other affected countries) is in the dock, and faces their misdeeds in a court of law.

And as for those of you who have put masks on young children, I will have a long memory on that score. A very long memory.

END NOTE: The CDC and WHO have acknowledged that asymptomatic people do not spread the virus, so the case for masks for such people is moot in the first place.

Source: https://www.globalresearch.ca/mask-giving-lung-cancer/5728541

(F) Real life study: Masked schoolchildren are harmed physically, psychologically, behaviorally and suffer from 24 distinct health issues
01/11/2021 / By Lance D Johnson / Comments

A first-of-its-kind study, involving over 25,000 children, reveals that masks are harming schoolchildren in many physical and psychological ways and have a negative effect on their behavior, focus and interest in learning. These negative effects are censored from social media, under-reported by the media, and ignored by government officials. Of the 25,930 children studied, the database includes at least 17,854 health complaints submitted by parents. These health issues and impairments were observed in approximately 68 percent of masked schoolchildren who were forced to wear a face covering for an average of four and a half hours per day.

Prolonged, forced, strict mask wearing destroys the health of children

The registry, established on October 20th, 2020, asked 363 doctors to inform parents and teachers that they can report the health impacts they are witnessing from prolonged mask use of children. The health issues were exhaustive and included irritability (60%), headache (53%), difficulty concentrating (50%), less happiness (49%), reluctance to go to school/kindergarten (44%), malaise (42%) impaired learning (38%) and drowsiness or fatigue (37%).” Nearly a third of the children sleep worse than normal, and quarter of the children had developed new fears. Hundreds of children were less cheerful, less playful and most were unusually irritable.

Even more concerning, (29.7%) had shortness of breath, (26.4%) experienced dizziness, and hundreds of children suffered from feelings of weakness, a feeling of disease, accelerated respiration, tightness in the chest, and short-term impairment of consciousness. Universal mask
wearing is destroying the health of children, making their immune system more susceptible to disease. (Related: The CDC is developing a nationwide “medical police state” program to track vaccine compliance; may lead to “medical kidnapping” of children.)

Masking of schoolchildren is a medical experiment that should be a parent’s choice and require informed consent
Around the world, fathers and mothers are losing their parental rights as asinine medical interventions are mandated on their children in the school setting. Children are being psychologically raped, as adults force them to believe that their breath is a constant source of disease transmission, that they have a moral duty to ensure that their diseased breath never infects another person. Children are being trained to fear one another, to fear the world around them, and to quiver in obedience to authority and medical fraud. Will these institutions be held liable for the physical, psychological and behavioral harm they continue to impose on children?

Teachers and counselors, who are required by law to report child abuse, are now actively participating in the abuse of children and bullying parents to comply. Every day, authorities turn a blind eye to the psychological harm they impose on children with mandatory masking of each child’s mouth and nose. What else can be forced onto children under the guise of keeping everyone “safe?”

Masking of schoolchildren is a medical experiment. Mask manufacturers have not conducted independent studies for long term use of their products on adolescents. Therefore, parents are not given informed consent so they can make a choice that is best for their child. In most cases, the schools are dictating what parents must do to their child’s body, setting unlawful precedent that tramples on the medical privacy rights of the family while perpetuating institutional abuse of children that is liability-free.

“There are no manufacturer-independent studies on the use of masks for children and adolescents that are certified as medical products for occupational safety in professional applications,” the study authors stated. “In addition, due to the unknown materials used, there are no findings on the potential protective effects or side effects of the often home-made ‘everyday masks’ worn by the majority of children. In view of the ongoing measures to contain the COVID-19 pandemic, and in particular the varying obligations for children and adolescents to wear masks in school over a longer period of time, there is an urgent need for research.”

Check out HealthFreedom.news for more on the harms of forcing masks on children.

Sources include:

GreenMedInfo.com

NaturalNews.com

G)Urgent Open Letter from Doctors and Scientists to the European Medicines Agency regarding COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Concerns March 12th, 2021

Doctors for Covid Ethics

Emer Cooke, Executive Director, European Medicines Agency, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
28 February 2021

Dear Sirs/Mesdames,
FOR THE URGENT PERSONAL ATTENTION OF: EMER COOKE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY

As physicians and scientists, we are supportive in principle of the use of new medical interventions which are appropriately developed and deployed, having obtained informed consent from the patient. This stance encompasses vaccines in the same way as therapeutics.

We note that a wide range of side effects is being reported following vaccination of previously healthy younger individuals with the gene-based COVID-19 vaccines. Moreover, there have been numerous media reports from around the world of care homes being struck by COVID-19 within days of vaccination of residents. While we recognise that these occurrences might, every one of them, have been unfortunate coincidences, we are concerned that there has been and there continues to be inadequate scrutiny of the possible causes of illness or death under these circumstances, and especially so in the absence of post-mortems examinations.

In particular, we question whether cardinal issues regarding the safety of the vaccines were adequately addressed prior to their approval by the European Medicines Agency (EMA).

As a matter of great urgency, we herewith request that the EMA provide us with responses to the following issues:

Following intramuscular injection, it must be expected that the gene-based vaccines will reach the bloodstream and disseminate throughout the body [1]. We request evidence that this possibility was excluded in pre-clinical animal models with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA.

If such evidence is not available, it must be expected that the vaccines will remain entrapped in the circulation and be taken up by endothelial cells. There is reason to assume that this will happen particularly at sites of slow blood flow, i.e. in small vessels and capillaries (2). We request evidence that this probability was excluded in pre-clinical animal models with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA.

If such evidence is not available, it must be expected that during expression of the vaccines’ nucleic acids, peptides derived from the spike protein will be presented via the MHC I — pathway at the luminal surface of the cells. Many healthy individuals have CD8-lymphocytes that recognize such peptides, which may be due to prior COVID infection, but also to cross-reactions with other types of Coronavirus (3; 4) (5). We must assume that these lymphocytes will mount an attack on the respective cells. We request evidence that this probability was excluded in pre-clinical animal models with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA.

If such evidence is not available, it must be expected that endothelial damage with subsequent triggering of blood coagulation via platelet activation will ensue at countless sites throughout the body. We request evidence that this probability was excluded in pre-clinical animal models with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA.

If such evidence is not available, it must be expected that this will lead to a drop in platelet counts, appearance of D-dimers in the blood, and to myriad ischaemic lesions throughout the body including in the brain, spinal cord and heart. Bleeding disorders might occur in the wake of this novel type of DIC-syndrome including, amongst other possibilities, profuse bleedings and haemorrhagic stroke. We request evidence that all these possibilities were excluded in pre-clinical animal models with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA.

The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binds to the ACE2 receptor on platelets, which results in their activation (6). Thrombocytopenia has been reported in severe cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection (7). Thrombocytopenia has also been reported in vaccinated individuals (8). We request evidence that the potential danger of platelet activation that
would also lead to disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) was excluded with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA.

The sweeping across the globe of SARS-CoV-2 created a pandemic of illness associated with many deaths. However, by the time of consideration for approval of the vaccines, the health systems of most countries were no longer under imminent threat of being overwhelmed because a growing proportion of the world had already been infected and the worst of the pandemic had already abated. Consequently, we demand conclusive evidence that an actual emergency existed at the time of the EMA granting Conditional Marketing Authorisation to the manufacturers of all three vaccines, to justify their approval for use in humans by the EMA, purportedly because of such an emergency.

Should all such evidence not be available, we demand that approval for use of the gene-based vaccines be withdrawn until all the above issues have been properly addressed by the exercise of due diligence by the EMA.

There are serious concerns, including but not confined to those outlined above, that the approval of the COVID-19 vaccines by the EMA was premature and reckless, and that the administration of the vaccines constituted and still does constitute “human experimentation”, which was and still is in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

In view of the urgency of the situation, we request that you reply to this email within seven days and address all our concerns substantively. Should you choose not to comply with this reasonable request, we will make this letter public.

This email is copied to:

**Charles Michel, President of the Council of Europe.**

Ursula von der Leyen, President of the European Commission.**

Doctors and scientists can sign the open letter by emailing their name, qualifications, areas of expertise, country and any affiliations they would like to cite, to Doctors4CovidEthics@protonmail.com

• References


Yours faithfully,

Professor Sucharit Bhakdi MD, Professor Emeritus of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Former Chair, Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz (Medical Doctor and Scientist) (Germany and Thailand)

Dr Marco Chiesa MD FRCpsych, Consultant Psychiatrist and Visiting Professor, University College London (Medical Doctor) (United Kingdom and Italy)

Dr C Stephen Frost BSc MBChB Specialist in Diagnostic Radiology, Stockholm, Sweden (Medical Doctor) (United Kingdom and Sweden)

Dr Margareta Griesz-Brisson MD PhD, Consultant Neurologist and Neurophysiologist (studied Medicine in Freiburg, Germany, speciality training for Neurology at New York University, Fellowship in Neurophysiology at Mount Sinai Medical Centre, New York City; PhD in Pharmacology with special interest in chronic low level neurotoxicology and effects of environmental factors on brain health), Medical Director, The London Neurology and Pain Clinic (Medical Doctor and Scientist) (Germany and United Kingdom)

Professor Stefan Hockertz...

Dr Lissa Johnson...

Professor Ulrike Kämmerer PhD...

Associate Professor Michael Palmer MD...

Professor Karina Reiss PhD...

Professor Andreas Sönnichsen MD...

Dr Michael Yeadon BSc...


(H)WHO Finally Admits COVID19 PCR Test Has a ‘Problem’

Published on December 17, 2020 Written by John O’Sullivan In a statement released on December 14, 2020 the World Health Organization finally owned up to what 100,000’s of doctors and medical professionals have been saying for months: the PCR test used to diagnose COVID-19 is a hit and miss process with way too many false positives.
This WHO-admitted “Problem” comes in the wake of international lawsuits exposing the incompetence and malfeasance of public health officials and policymakers for reliance on a diagnostic test not fit for purpose.

This World Health Organization admission is that the crux of the “problem” is a wholly arbitrary cycling process which “means that many cycles were required to detect virus. In some circumstances, the distinction between background noise and actual presence of the target virus is difficult to ascertain.” [emphasis added]

The UN body is now clearly looking to distance itself from the fatally flawed test as a growing number of lawsuits are processing through the courts exposing the insanity of relying on a test that even the inventor, Professor Kary B. Mullis said was never designed to diagnose diseases. [1]

Professor Mullis was awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1993. ‘Coincidentally’, Mullis died just before the pandemic started.

We reported on November 22, 2020 that a landmark court case in Portugal had ruled that the polymerase chain reaction test (PCR) used worldwide to diagnose COVID-19 was not fit for purpose. Most importantly, the judges ruled that a single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection.

As Off-Guardian.org reported at the time:

“In their ruling, judges Margarida Ramos de Almeida and Ana Paramés referred to several scientific studies. Most notably this study by Jaafar et al., which found that – when running PCR tests with 35 cycles or more – the accuracy dropped to 3%, meaning up to 97% of positive results could be false positives.

The ruling goes on to conclude that, based on the science they read, any PCR test using over 25 cycles is totally unreliable. Governments and private labs have been very tight-lipped about the exact number of cycles they run when PCR testing, but it is known to sometimes be as high as 45. Even fearmonger-in-chief Anthony Fauci has publicly stated anything over 35 is totally unusable.”

You can read the complete ruling in the original Portuguese here, and translated into English here.

Among thousands of angry doctors arguing PCR tests should not be used is Dr. Pascal Sacré. He wrote that:

“This misuse of RT-PCR technique is used as a relentless and intentional strategy by some governments, supported by scientific safety councils and by the dominant media, to justify excessive measures such as the violation of a large number of constitutional rights, the destruction of the economy with the bankruptcy of entire active sectors of society, the degradation of living conditions for a large number of ordinary citizens, under the pretext of a pandemic based on a number of positive RT-PCR tests, and not on a real number of patients.”

Clear and conclusive scientific evidence proves that these tests are not accurate and create a statistically significant percentage of false positives. Positive results more likely indicate “ordinary respiratory diseases like the common cold.” [2]

However, none of this is new information to science. These facts were known at least before 2007 after a New York Times report entitled, “Faith in Quick Test Leads to Epidemic That Wasn’t,” (image, above) clearly showed how scientifically inaccurate PCR tests are, featuring many shocking statements from medical experts on the use of these tests, clearly laying out how they result in false positives and lead to dangerous exaggerations and false alarms. [3]
In their 2007 story the New York Times cited a prescient quote from Dr. Elizabeth Talbot, deputy state epidemiologist for the New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, who said:

“One of the most troubling aspects of the pseudo-epidemic is that all the decisions seemed so sensible at the time.”

Those who run our public institutions have allowed history to repeat itself. At the head of the line of incompetence and malfeasance is the UN itself. At the media briefing on COVID-19 on March 16, 2020, the WHO Director General Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus (photo, below) said:

“We have a simple message for all countries: test, test, test.”

This insanity of testing anyone and everyone, even without symptoms has been an unmitigated global public health scandal and must be stopped. All officials in high places complicit in this crime must be prosecuted.

About the author: John O’Sullivan John is CEO and co-founder (with Dr Tim Ball) of Principia Scientific International (PSI). John is a seasoned science writer and legal analyst who assisted Dr Ball in defeating world leading climate expert, Michael ‘hockey stick’ Mann in the ‘science trial of the century’. O’Sullivan is credited as the visionary who formed the original ‘Slayers’ group of scientists in 2010 who then collaborated in creating the world’s first full-volume debunk of the greenhouse gas theory plus their new follow-up book.


(I) Judgment of the Tribunal in the matter of Genocidal Technologies Pandemic On the Indictment: Genocide & Crimes against Humanity by 5G-Pandemic Perpetrators

Final Judgment: Download FINAL JUDGMENT - NATURAL AND COMMON LAW TRIBUNAL- November 29 2020


Indictment: Download 1. INDICTMENT - TRIBUNALPUBLIC.HEALTH.JUSTICE-FINAL VER 1-11.15


WATCH Trial Video Transcript - Part I https://newtube.app/user/TrueTube/DMSWubJ
WATCH Trial Video Transcript - Part II
https://www.brighteon.com/865ee349-18b4-48c8-aab8-745df03250ba


NATURAL AND COMMON LAW TRIBUNAL FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND JUSTICE
http://www.peaceinspace.org

https://exopolitics.blogs.com/international_criminal_co/

Judgment of the Tribunal in the matter of
Genocidal Technologies Pandemic


On the Indictment:
Genocide & Crimes against Humanity by 5G-Pandemic Perpetrators


Tribunal Judges Affirmation - Tribunal Judges made this affirmation at the onset of the Trial or their Presentation segment:

"I affirm as a Tribunal Judge of the Natural and Common Law Tribunal for Public Health and Justice that to the best of my ability I will render Justice using Natural Law, Common Law, International Criminal Code, and Social Law."

Summation of Judgment, Verdict, and Sentences: With approximately 8 hours of Trial Testimony and deliberation, and an extensive Evidentiary Docket, the Tribunal Judges found allegations of the Indictment to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt and the Tribunal Judges approved the Emergency Injunctions and Writs of Mandamus as set out in the Indictment and Amendments, and handed out Incarceration sentences to Named Defendants in the Indictment, by Majority Aye vote, with 1 Abstention and 1 Nay vote.

Judgment and Verdict:

According to its Authority and Powers under the Natural and Common Law and in accordance with Articles 6 and 7 of the International Criminal Code -


the Tribunal hereby Orders:

1. **Emergency Injunctions** to ban (a) Any and all allopathic Vaccinations including COVID vaccinations, and Any and all uses of Aspartame under any of its names for human consumption worldwide, (b) Any and all 5G and above installations and activations worldwide, (c) Genocidal Pandemic Social Control Methods like mandatory Lockdowns, Masking, quarantines, Detention Centers, Concentration Camps, etc., (d) Any and All intentional disinformation and misinformation by media and Social media concerning the Genocidal Technologies Pandemic as defined in the Indictment, (e) Any and All Financial abuse or fraud by Financial, Banking or Unjust Enrichment entities or individuals regarding the Genocidal Technologies Pandemic as defined in the Indictment, (f) Any and All abuse by Sentient and/or Strong or Other AI Artificial Intelligence around the Genocidal Technologies Pandemic as defined in the Indictment, (g) Any
and All 2009-2020 and beyond Criminal Co-conspiracy around the Genocidal Technologies Pandemic by named and unnamed Defendants in the Indictment.

2. **Emergency Writs of Mandamus** to (a) Claw back Unjust Enrichment from Pandemic profiteers such as and not limited to: Bill Gates, Jeff Bezos, and Vaccination companies [See financial data in Indictment], and (b) Establish a South Africa style Truth & Reconciliation Commission to apply Restorative Justice to Pandemic Defendants [as opposed to Retributive Justice like death penalty - These terms are defined toward the end of the Indictment]

3. **Development of 5G in the Nordic region**

Prime minister Stefan Löfven did invite prime minister colleagues Erna Solberg from Norway, Lars Løkke Rasmussen from Denmark, Juha Sipilä from Finland and Katrín Jakobsdóttir from Iceland to an annual informal Prime Minister meeting. Sweden is chairing Nordic co-operation this year and Prime Minister Stefan Löfven will host the meeting, which was held on 22–23 May in Örnsköldsvik in Sweden.

During the meeting the prime ministers did discuss the implementation of 5G and entered into a new in-depth collaboration on 5G. Their letter of intent clearly reveals their intentions regarding 5G and how

The Swedish prime minister Stefan Löfven stated that “the Nordic region is one of the world’s most innovative regions. The development of 5G is on the rise and the Nordic region must be at the forefront of that development. It creates jobs and prosperity in our countries”.

In the letter of intent one can read the following:

The Nordic region is one of the most innovative and digitalised regions in the world. With our mature digital infrastructure, high level of digital skills and ambitious national strategies for digital transformation in the public and private sectors, we have the potential to take a leading role in the next leap for wireless communications. The importance of 5G was acknowledged in the Nordic-Baltic ministerial declaration Digital North that was adopted in 2017.

As the development of fifth generation wireless systems (5G) breaks through, the Nordic countries will be at the forefront of that development to become world leaders in using 5G technology for the development and digitalisation of all sectors of society.

The deployment of 5G will require substantial investments as well as the appropriate regulatory framework. At political level, we commit to creating the conditions in the public sector for digitalisation and 5G to flourish. As Nordic prime ministers, we have agreed to the common vision of being the first and most integrated 5G region in the world. We want to create a common Nordic 5G space.

To achieve this goal, we will cooperate closely to set up a common action plan for early adoption of 5G technology across the Nordic region.

The action plan will:

- Encourage the development of new testing facilities, including test beds;
- Ensure the technical coordination of 5G frequency bands within the region;
- Remove obstacles to expansion of the 5G network, in particular deployment of base stations and antennas; and
- Encourage and monitor the development of 5G, specifically for certain sectors: o Transport
  - Sustainable and climate-efficient transport solutions
  - Transport systems and connected vehicles
  - Remote-controlled airborne services (‘drones’)
- Mission critical communications
• Emergency services
• Law enforcement
  o Advanced automation in the manufacturing industry
• Augmented reality for employees
• Interconnected factories
• Robust and low latency communications, e.g. for robot control
  o Energy, environment, agriculture and aquaculture
• Energy saving measures, smart grids, power management
• Increased yield in food production
• Detailed monitoring.

The development of 5G will be monitored and followed up by the Nordic Council of Ministers, facilitating implementation in cooperation with the Nordic governments, national digital authorities, and stakeholders from the ICT and telecom industries.

We ask the Nordic digitalisation ministers to take the lead in following up this declaration with the aim of ensuring that the Nordic region becomes the first and best interconnected 5G region in the world.

Signed by:
Stefan Löfven, Sweden
Katrín Jakobsdóttir, Iceland
Lars Løkke Rasmussen, Denmark
Juha Sipilä, Finland
Erna Solberg, Norway

Letter of intent:

Swedish government homepage, January 2019:
https://www.regeringen.se/pressemeddelanden/2018/05/nytt-nordiskt-samarbete-om-5g/

Swedish TV4, May 2018:
https://www.tv4.se/klipp/va/3972978/statsministermote-i-ornskoldsvik-5g-och-sjalvkorande-bilar-pa-agendan

3. Prime Minister of India Narendra Modi and Home Minister Amit Shah shall be included in the Indictment explicitly for

1. Imposing Pharma Dictatorship on People of India.

2. Destroying Safer, Cheaper and Effective Indigenous, Natural, Traditional Recognised Systems of Medicines (which are supported by World Health Authority under which WHO -- Bureaucracy has to work).

3. Not promoting and supporting Effective, Safer, Cheaper Homeopathic/Herbal and Natural Vaccines enhancing Immunity.
4. Creating unjust LockDown since March 2020 making Crores of Indians jobless and not compensating them as in other countries.

5. Now proposing to extend the LockDown till March 2021 and also supporting 5G (through Industrialist Mukesh Ambani of Reliance), Mandatory Vaccines with lethal ingredients, Damaging Masks and other atrocities, like Social Distancing, Trains not working, etc continue.

This should be included in today's agenda for Indictment.

Submitted by Judge Dr. Leo Rebello from India

3. Enforcement at the National Courts and other Venues - Enforcement of the Tribunal's Judgments at National Courts of the 118 Nations ratifying the International Criminal Code, and other International, Common Law, and appropriate Venues. The 118 Nations ratifying the International Criminal Code are listed at: All the States that have ratified the International Criminal Court Statute https://exopolitics.blogs.com/international_criminal_co/2020/09/all-the-states-that-have-ratified-the-international-criminal-court.html

4. Criminal Intent or Scienter - Amendment to Indictment Tribunal Emergency Injunctions, Emergency Writs of Mandamus and Enforcement at the National Courts and other Venues

Because of a factual finding of Scienter or Criminal Intent, "a mental state in which one has knowledge that one’s action, statement, etc., is wrong, deceptive, or illegal: often used as a standard of guilt", the following Criminal Sentences under the International Criminal Court Statute are Imposed by the Tribunal upon Named and Unnamed Defendants

1. All financial assets and real capital owned by those prosecuted and convicted of crimes against humanity shall be seized and confiscated.

1. The following individuals shall be sentenced to imprisonment for crimes against humanity, with the following terms of Incarceration without Parole:

Crimes regarding the implementation of 5G+ and above in the Nordic region and worldwide:

Prime minister Stefan Löfven, Sweden – 10 years imprisonment
Prime minister Juha Sipilä, Finland – 10 years imprisonment
Prime minister Lars Løkke Rasmussen, Denmark – 10 years imprisonment
Prime minister Erna Solberg, Norway – 10 years imprisonment
Prime minister Katrín Jakobsdóttir, Iceland – 10 years imprisonment

All United Nations Organization and UN Agency and UN Member Heads of Government promoting and supporting the implementation of 5G+ in their Nations - 10 years imprisonment

All National Heads of Agency and Commissioners [such as the US Federal Communications Commission FCC] promoting and supporting the implementation of 5G+ in their Nations - 10 years imprisonment

All CEO’s of Telecommunications Entities, Corporations, Partnerships implementing and distributing 5G+ wherever located - 10 years imprisonment

Crimes regarding the world wide implementation of 5G+ via Outer space:

Defendant Elon Musk – 25 years imprisonment

- 

All UN Agency and UN Member Heads of Government promoting and supporting the implementation of COVID Vaccines/any and all Vaccines/Aspartame in their Nations - 10 years imprisonment
All National Heads of Agency and Commissioners promoting and supporting the implementation of COVID vaccines/any and all Vaccines/Aspartame in their Nations - 10 years imprisonment

All CEO’s of Vaccinations Entities, Corporations, Partnerships implementing and distributing COVID Vaccines/any and all Vaccines/Aspartame wherever located - 10 years imprisonment

Named 5G/COVID-19 Pandemic Perpetrator Defendants - 10 years imprisonment

Named Financial, Banking, and Pandemic Unjust Enrichment Defendants - 10 years imprisonment

Named Mainstream Media/Social Media Disinformer Defendants - 10 years imprisonment

Named Mass Vaccination Pandemic Genocide Defendants - 10 years imprisonment

Named 5G and DEW directed energy weapons Defendants - 10 years imprisonment

Named Social and Medical Control Methods Pandemic Defendants - 10 years imprisonment

Crimes regarding 2009-2020 Lockstep Depopulation 5G-vaccines-Pandemic:

For a Criminal Co-conspiracy allegedly commencing May 5, 2009 at 3pm EST in the President’s room, Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue,

New York, NY 10065

Rockefeller Foundation - All financial assets and real capital owned by those prosecuted and convicted of crimes against humanity shall be seized and confiscated.

David Rockefeller Jr.: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Bill Gates: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Warren Buffett: Life Imprisonment without Parole

George Soros: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Ted Turner: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Michael Bloomberg: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Vaccinations/Aspartame

Melinda Gates: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO: Life Imprisonment without Parole

Donald H. Rumsfeld [Aspartame]: Life Imprisonment without Parole

5. **Evidentiary Repository of the Tribunal** is online at [http://www.peaceinspace.org](http://www.peaceinspace.org) and is presumed to accompany any and all Applications for Enforcement of the Judgment and Orders of this Tribunal in the National Courts of the 118 Nations ratifying the International Criminal Court Statute, Rome Statute, and in other Common law and lawful Venues.

NATURAL AND COMMON LAW TRIBUNAL

FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND JUSTICE

Sunday Nov. 29, 2020
Tribunal Judges

Alfred Lambremont Webre, JD, MEd, CERT Public Health [Canada]
Dr. Leo Rebello, MD, N.D., Ph.D., D.Sc., LL.D. [India]
Lawrence Burnett [UK]
Michelle Young [UK]
Simone Jennifer [Canada]
Nurse Kate Shemirani [UK]
Kevin Corbett, MSc, PhD [UK]
Dr. Judy Wilyman [Australia]
Jimuphy Masters [Australia]
Dr. Leonard Coldwell, NMD, PhD [USA]
Seven [UK]
Lena Pu [USA]
Mark Steele 5G [UK]
John & Bonnie Mitchell [Uruguay]
Dr. Rima Laibow, MD [USA]
Ingri Cassel [USA]
Karen Holton [Canada]
Michael Zazzio [Sweden]
Dr. Betty Martini, D. Hurn. [USA]
Clyde Harris [UK]
Robert Potter [USA]
Alanna Siemens [Canada]
David Adelman [UK]
David Laity [UK]
Dawn Bramadat/Heartroot [Canada]
Dolores Medina [USA]

Sacha Stone [Bali]
Prof. Dolores Cahill [Ireland]

Magnus Ollson [Poland] December 3, 2020

Tribunal Judges Missing in Action

Dr. Rebecca Carley, MD [USA] Judge Sallie Elkordy Contact

Sallie Elkordy [USA] R.I.P. November 22, 2020, assassinated by 5G installations surrounding her home in New York, NY

Please grant this individual unconditional Safe Conduct & Health Safety in the performance of their duties in your jurisdiction, and contact us immediately regarding any issues that may arise in this regard,

Very Truly Yours.

NATURAL AND COMMON LAW TRIBUNAL FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND JUSTICE

www.Peaceinspace.org

Contact:
Email: peace@peaceinspace.org
Skype: peaceinspace
Tel: +1-604-600-9203
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iii Universal Declaration of Human Rights
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